CORRECTION





Babak Choodari-Oskooei^{1*†}, Alexandra Blenkinsop^{2†}, Kelly Handley³, Thomas Pinkney⁴ and Mahesh K. B. Parmar¹

Correction: BMC Med Res Methodol 24, 124 (2024) https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-024-02247-w

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors reported an error found on page 4 and replacement of Fig. 2.

- 1) On page 4, the following text "\sigma _{\hat{\theta}_ {jk}}" should be replaced with $\sigma_{\hat{\theta}_{ik}}$.
- 2) On page 4, the " $\psi_j k = 1$ " should be replaced with $\psi_{ik} = 1$.
- 3) In Fig. 2, the title of the two bottom graphs, "a-1" and "b-1" should be replaced with "a-2" and "b-2", respectively. The revised version of Fig. 2 is shown below.

 $^{\dagger}\textsc{Babak}$ Choodari-Oskoo
ei and Alexandra Blenkinsop contributed equally to this work.

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-024-02247-w.

*Correspondence:

Babak Choodari-Oskooei

- b.choodari-oskooei@ucl.ac.uk
- ¹ MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, Institute of Clinical Trials
- and Methodology, UCL, 90 High Holborn, London WC1V 6LJ, UK
- ² Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, London, UK
- ³ Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

⁴ Institute of Applied Health Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

The original article [1] has been updated.

Published online: 02 July 2024

Reference

 Choodari-Oskooei B, Blenkinsop A, Handley K, et al. Multi-arm multistage (MAMS) randomised selection designs: impact of treatment selection rules on the operating characteristics. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2024;24:124. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-024-02247-w.



Fig. 2 FWER (left) and overall power (right) by the timing of the treatment selection at stage 1 (top) and stage 2 (bottom) and subset selection rule for a three-stage design. The overall power is calculated when one research arm is effective with the target effect size. The X-axis is control arm information time in all graphs