ERRATUM Open Access



# Erratum to: What impact do assumptions about missing data have on conclusions? a practical sensitivity analysis for a cancer survival registry

M. Smuk<sup>1\*</sup>, J. R. Carpenter<sup>2,3</sup> and T. P. Morris<sup>2,3</sup>

## **Erratum**

After the publication of the original article [1], it came to the author's attention that an error affecting Figures 2 and 3, and the Additional files, has occurred.

During the author proofing stage, an instruction was made to move Figs. 2 and 3 to the Additional files. The instruction was misinterpreted by the Production team, resulting in the files being retained as Figs. 2 and 3, and the Additional files being subsequently incorrect.

The original article has now been updated to rectify this error.

### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Psychiatry, Queen Mary University of London, Charterhouse Square, London EC1M 6BQ, UK. <sup>2</sup>Medical Statistics Department, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK. <sup>3</sup>London Hub for Trials Methodology Research, MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, London, UK.

# Published online: 29 March 2017

### Reference

 Smuk M, Carpenter JR, Morris TP. What impact do assumptions about missing data have on conclusions? A practical sensitivity analysis for a cancer survival registry. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2017;6:21. doi:10.1186/ s12874-017-0301-0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Centre for Psychiatry, Queen Mary University of London, Charterhouse Square, London EC1M 6BQ, UK



<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: m.smuk@qmul.ac.uk