CORRECTION   **Open Access**

Correction to: Applied comparison of large-scale propensity score matching and cardinality matching for causal inference in observational research

Stephen P. Fortin[1*], Stephen S. Johnston[2] and Martijn J. Schuemie[1]

Correction to: BMC Med Res Methodol 21, 109 (2021)
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-021-01282-1

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors noticed an error in Fig. 2, and some cropping of the text in Fig. 3. Presented here are the updated Figs. 2 and 3. The original article has been updated.

---

* Correspondence: stephenfortin12@gmail.com
[1]Janssen R&D, LLC, Raritan, NJ, USA
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Fig. 2 Matching covariate standardized mean differences after large-scale propensity score matching (PSM) and cardinality matching (CM). Fine balance: exact marginal distributional balance. Violin plots illustrate kernel probability density; the width of the shaded area represents the proportion of observations with the corresponding y-axis value

Fig. 3 Candidate covariate standardized mean differences after large-scale propensity score matching (PSM) and cardinality matching (CM). Fine balance: exact marginal distributional balance. Violin plots illustrate kernel probability density; the width of the shaded area represents the proportion of observations with the corresponding y-axis value

Author details
[1]Janssen R&D, LLC, Raritan, NJ, USA. [2]Johnson & Johnson, New Brunswick, NJ, USA.

Reference
1.   Fortin SP, Johnston SS, Schuemie MJ. Applied comparison of large-scale propensity score matching and cardinality matching for causal inference in observational research. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2021;21(1):109. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-021-01282-1.